further modified that, that it can't be a new 1 translator that could be used with it; it has 2 to be a translator that has been in existence 3 prior to some date. I have no idea why the 4 5 Commission picked that date but nevertheless they did. 6 7 The reason translators have any 8 value today is largely because οf the 9 utilization with AM. But also, the only way you can get 10 a translator today is waiting for a window to 11 open. And as only the Commission can, they 12 haven't opened a window in three or four years 13 14 for FM translators and it doesn't look like there's going to be a window opening before I 15 therefore, the 16 retire, (Laughter) SO 17 Commission essentially by their inefficiency is allowing the prices of translators to go 18 19 up. 20 The reason the translators are 21 still dirt cheap --

ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: What is dirt

22

1	cheap?
2	MR. SHAINIS: They are dirt cheap.
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: What is, the
4	translators are?
5	MR. SHAINIS: The translators.
6	Because they're essentially if you look at
7	an FM well, first of all, economic times
8	are such that let me do an example.
9	Twenty-five years ago a translator
10	is probably \$3-5,000. That's how much it
11	would cost. The average price of a translator
12	today I'm going to venture to say, my
13	experience, is probably is about \$10-15,000,
14	maybe \$20,000.
15	I don't know why Wilks was willing
16	to pay because probably that's what Eddie
17	Floyd was insisting or John Schroeder or
18	whatever the \$50,000. But Evans is willing
19	to pay \$20,000 for it and that's probably
20	overpaying at that.
21	MR. HARRINGTON: I think the
22	reason that Wilks-Reno was willing to buy it

1 -- I can't tell you why they picked the price 2 they picked -- was because that station does improve the signal quality into the Carson 3 City area, which the translator's licensed to 4 5 serve. Carson City is the capital 6 7 Nevada, although it's a quite small city. Ι think that was a population area for whatever 8 reason for, I think, a jazz station -- I 9 assume KJZS is jazz format -- that they wanted 10 11 to be in, be able to provide a signal into. I have also seen correspondents at 12 very high levels in the company internal that 13 14 basically questioned, "Why are we doing this? It doesn't make any sense, " and nobody listens 15 16 to them. But there you go. 17 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, well what kind of price can disclose this, what 18 kind of a price were they talking to Mr. Floyd 19 20 about? The price that's 21 MR. HARRINGTON: in the contract that was filed with the 22

1	Commission as part of the application is
2	\$50,000, of which \$10,000 was paid in cash as
3	a deposit, unfortunately.
4	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: We heard
5	about that in the last prehearing.
6	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, Your Honor.
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well
8	sometimes the business world really can be
9	mystifying sometimes.
10	So if this gets approved the
11	station would get auctioned off, and the best
12	you could ever hope to get at an auction would
13	be something like \$50,000, and that money goes
14	to pay off the creditors?
15	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. In the real
16	world, I mean
17	MR. SHAINIS: In the real world,
18	first of all, the amount of money that is
19	going to be gotten I think that the Floyd
20	debts amount to over \$1 million, so every cent
21	of this would be used to pay innocent
22	creditors

1	Neither Mr. Floyd or his wife are
2	creditors, none of their family are creditors.
3	So nothing is going to be paid directly or
4	indirectly to the Floyds.
5	MR. HARRINGTON: Again, to make
6	the record clear, Wilks has no intention to
7	bid at any auction for the translator.
8	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well I wonder
9	why. I'm not going to speculate why.
10	My only connection with
11	translators in a case was many years ago, a
12	Peninsula case where somebody up in Alaska had
13	a string of these translators. The case went
14	off on fraud allegations as usual.
15	There was a lot of litigation.
16	Not only a long proceeding that I had, but it
17	was in and out of the Circuit Court at least
18	twice.
19	Finally the Commission approved my
20	decision. It approved by the Court of
21	Appeals, certiorari was turned down. You
22	return the files back to the dead spot and

1 everything. About two months later I get an 2 3 order from the Commission saving 4 President Bush had just signed an approving legislation that somehow or other 5 carved these things out, and case closed. 6 7 So, there you go. These things sometimes do wind around and have a funny 8 9 ending. But I feel that that must have 10 been -- there must have been value there, 11 12 because this person or this group was paying 13 an enormous amount of legal fees to get this They had excellent counsel. They just 14 15 kept it going. 16 Anyway, that's an aside. 17 Are you satisfied with that; is there anything more you want to hear about it? 18 19 I'm looking at Ms. Leavitt. MS. LEAVITT: Yes, Your Honor. 20 Ι 21 pretty much follow what they're saying, and it 22 is consistent with what I understand of this

1	in-Contour translator station.
2	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I want to
3	just ask one more thing.
4	Mr. Floyd is saying that since
5	2007 they've been in there using this thing,
6	they being Wilks. It sounds like I mean,
7	it's hard to figure out what kind of a
8	relationship there is.
9	You've explained it quite a bit,
10	Mr. Shainis.
11	Is he looking for any compensation
12	for the use of it?
13	MR. SHAINIS: Who is he?
14	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Floyd, Mr.
15	Floyd.
16	MR. SHAINIS: No. Not only is he
17	not looking for any compensation, he wouldn't
18	be able to obtain it legally.
19	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: At that time
20	he couldn't have obtained it legally?
21	MR. SHAINIS: No.
22	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: That's

1	right.
2	MR. SHAINIS: No.
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Because you
4	said that they had barred they had stopped
5	that practice of -
6	MR. SHAINIS: Right.
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: So that was
8	just kind of like the squatter's rights
9	almost, he just walked in and started to use
10	it. Some kind of relationship here.
11	MR. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, we're
12	really not the relationship is we are
13	permitting him to rebroadcast the signal. So
14	it's not we are not actually using the
15	station, but the station is carrying the
16	signal of our primary station.
17	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Ah.
18	MR. SHAINIS: Because the station
19	can't do anything independently of a full-
20	service station.
21	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: And this
22	keeps I'm sorry. I didn't mean to

1	interrupt. Then that keeps the licensee's
2	license from going forfeit to silent?
3	MR. SHAINIS: That's right.
4	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: And
5	basically -
6	MR. SHAINIS: That's correct.
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL:
8	forfeiting?
9	MR. SHAINIS: There was
10	approximately 30 years ago Bible Broadcasting
11	no, it was Moody Bible College; I'm sorry
12	filed a rulemaking at the Commission to
13	allow origination of programming on
14	translators.
15	The Commission basically, and
16	essentially what they said was, "Look. Let's
17	create" and it was ahead at the time
18	"low-power FM. Let's do this." That was
19	pending for at least three or four years.
20	People started warehousing FM
21	translators, and it was unbelievable, figuring
22	that they would now hit the lottery if this

2 It never happened and the people now are stuck with a lot of these assets. 3 4 Therefore, you do the best you can and you try 5 to get the programming from some station. MR. HARRINGTON: The alternative 6 7 that the Commission came up with was its low-8 power FM stations, which are only available to 9 nonprofit local community organizations. 10 use essentially the same facilities that a 11 translator would use, except it can originate 12 programming. 13 MR. SHAINIS: That's correct. That is another 14 MR. HARRINGTON: 15 thing that has put pressure on the translator field, in that there are no frequencies being 16 17 made available to translators because the 18 Commission wants to maximize the number of 19 low-power FMs it can create to allow local 20 community organizations to get on the air. They don't 21 ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: 22 want the competition from the translators?

1

thing ever happened.

1	MR. SHAINIS: Well, it's a
2	scarcity spectrum.
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: A scarcity
4	spectrum? Right.
5	I'm just quizzical as to, just for
6	my own edification, why would the Commission
7	want to stop this practice of translators
8	making or renting getting some commercial
9	value out of the translators, renting them out
10	or something.
11	MR. SHAINIS: Actually, it was a
12	political decision. The broadcasters have
13	never really liked the utilization of
14	translators.
15	Because basically what happens is,
16	as opposed to a booster which is on-channel,
17	this is on a different channel. Therefore
18	it's viewed as maybe not double competition,
19	but one and a half competition.
20	MR. HARRINGTON: Right. At times
21	people at one point people were allowed to
22	locate them at distances from the city of

license of the main station, and then daisy-1 chain them together so that one transmits to 2 the next and so forth. 3 4 And so you get some station --5 using an example, let's say that you'd have a station licensed to Winchester that would б 7 suddenly have a translator in Adams Morgan. The licensees in Washington would 8 9 "Why is this signal popping up in my say, community? It's a competitor I don't want." 10 So the Commission said, "No, no. 11 12 Get back over there to the Shenandoah Valley. 13 That's where you belong." SHAINIS: 14 MR. And had you 15 interesting configurations of this thing. When there was a hearing case, Torro in New 16 Jersey, that involved basically -- and even 17 the Bureau at that point was a media bureau. 18 19 It basically gave permission for 20 this guy to do it but they never understood what they were giving permission to, and it 21 22 became a hearing. In any event.

1	MR. HARRINGTON: The Commission
2	has now in its rule in its translator rule
3	it specifically prohibits using, for example,
4	microwave to transmit the signal of a
5	commercial station beyond its contours to a
6	translator.
7	It prohibits the daisy-chaining of
8	translators to dramatically increase the
9	service area of a station.
10	So the Commission, with lobbying
11	from other broadcasters, has basically decided
12	if you want to use it to fill in, fine. If
13	there's a situation, an unusual situation on
14	the very edge of your service area or a
15	community needs some service, that's okay.
16	But you're not going to be able to go into
17	someone else's market using translators.
18	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
19	I've just been educated. Thank you very much.
20	That answers Question C also,
21	doesn't it really, the onus in the operation.
22	MR. SHAINIS: Yes, it does.

1	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Maybe you've
2	made this clear, but what is being done with
3	that station right now? You've made an
4	application for it to be silent?
5	MR. SHAINIS: Yes. A request for
6	silent authority has been filed.
7	I have been advised, I have not
8	been privy to the negotiations that
9	arrangements are being made for the station to
10	resume operations carrying the signal of
11	another station in the market.
12	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Say that
13	once more? I'm sorry.
14	MR. SHAINIS: I have been advised
15	I'm not privy to the negotiations, but I
16	have been advised that there are negotiations
17	by Mr. Floyd to attempt to carry the signal of
18	another station in the market.
19	MR. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, just
20	again to clarify
21	
21	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. Go

1	MR. HARRINGTON: I believe the
2	reason that Mr. Floyd's station is dark is
3	that Wilks-Reno has withdrawn its permission
4	to allow its signal to be rebroadcast by Mr.
5	Floyd's translator as a result of this
6	proceeding.
7	Therefore, since he doesn't have
8	you must have permission of the primary
9	station to be rebroadcast. Since he no longer
10	has that, he can't carry our signal. So he
11	has to find an alternative signal or go dark.
12	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. That
13	part of it I understood, but he's seeking now
14	to get another broadcaster who would use his
15	translator, so that he would then be in
16	compliance with the non-silence rule?
17	MR. SHAINIS: Basically, the
18	request that was filed, the STA -
19	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: The STA.
20	MR. SHAINIS: would allow him
21	to remain silent for six months. Then if he
22	was still silent after six months, he would

1	file an extension of that.
2	But if he doesn't return to the
3	air in a year, then under the act the license
4	is automatically forfeited. At that point the
5	hearing is over, I assume, also.
6	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
7	It must return within a year? Okay.
8	I have seen I know something
9	about what STAs are. I'm not sure. It might
10	have been in that Peninsula case that there
11	were a lot of those around.
12	All right well, you and Mr.
13	Harrington are still proceeding with
14	MR. HARRINGTON: We are, Your
15	Honor. We hope to provide some specific
16	material today which, based upon our
17	discussions yesterday, I hope will satisfy the
18	Enforcement Bureau.
19	Assuming that's the case, then we
20	hope to have a report to you fairly promptly
21	as to the resolution of the issues not
22	issues the resolution of the concerns of

1	the Bureau.
2	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well there's
3	really two separate things, I think, going on
4	here. There's Wilks participation in this
5	case as a captured party.
6	MR. HARRINGTON: Yes, Your Honor.
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: And you want
8	release, having nothing to do with Mr. Floyd's
9	problems with bankruptcy, etcetera?
10	MR. HARRINGTON: We wish Mr. Floyd
11	all the best. But we would prefer not to be
12	in this proceeding at all, Your Honor.
13	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: You can only
14	go so far with helping people. Okay.
15	MR. SHAINIS: Your Honor, Mr.
16	Floyd would have no objection to Wilks'
17	disassociation from the proceeding.
18	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
19	Yes. I think that representation has been
20	made before, maybe not. No?
21	MR. SHAINIS: No. I don't believe
22	so.

1	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm glad to
2	hear it.
3	It was the other way around. Mr.
4	Harrington went along with your request for a
5	stay. That's neither here nor there.
6	No objection.
7	You can represent that in your
8	papers down the road. There will probably be
9	some kind of a joint submission or whatever
10	between
11	MR. HARRINGTON: That's probably
12	anticipated Your Honor.
13	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.
14	Whatever is the easiest. But it has to have
15	some kind of it has to have a factual
16	basis.
17	MS. LEAVITT: Absolutely, Your
18	Honor.
19	MR. HARRINGTON: We're providing
20	them factual information, although I continue
21	to take the position that the issues in this
22	case relate to Mr. Floyd's qualifications and

the application 1 whether ornot assignment of license should be granted. 2 with respect to that 3 And 4 application, since we are no longer a party to a contract which would permit us to buy the 5 station, that there are no qualification 6 7 issues relating to my client. Therefore, in reality we 8 it's appropriate, but to try 9 believe resolve this in the spirit of cooperation, 10 11 which we have promised, we're trying 12 provide the factual information as to which 13 the Bureau would like some background on. I believe we can satisfy them. Ιf 14 we do, then I think this will allow us to 15 reach agreement with the Enforcement Bureau to 16 17 allow us to be removed from the case. Ι JUDGE SIPPEL: As 18 ADMIN. 19 understand it, the nasty issue is whether or 20 Wilks participated in some way in not preparing Mr. Floyd's application or renewal 21

application, or whatever it was.

22

1	basis for the charges.
2	MR. SHAINIS: There's no issue on
3	that, Your Honor. The issue is whether or not
4	he's qualified. And the question as to
5	whether he's qualified, as I understand it, is
6	based upon two things.
7	One is the fact that he was
8	convicted of a crime involving a felony. And
9	second, whether that was disclosed in the
10	application by Mr. Floyd.
11	I don't believe that that relates
12	to my client's obligations at all. Although,
13	again, I think the facts will prove we had no
14	involvement in any way.
15	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: There is an
16	aiding and abetting concept though, isn't
17	there?
18	MR. SHAINIS: There's no issue on
19	that.
20	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: There is no
21	issue. That's true. There is no issue.
22	MS. LEAVITT: There is no issue,

1	Your Honor.
2	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: But it's
3	still a part of the scenario.
4	MR. HARRINGTON: As we continue to
5	point out, we would object to the use of the
6	discovery process for a fishing expedition to
7	try to develop evidence upon which to base a
8	motion for enlargement of issues.
9	This is why I had some difficulty
LO	with answering some of the questions that were
11	in responding to some of the proposed
12	admissions from the Enforcement Bureau.
13	But we're trying to get that
14	behind us and I think we're doing a good job.
15	So I don't really want to make an issue of
16	that today if I can avoid it.
17	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I do concur
18	go ahead. I'm sorry.
19	MS. LEAVITT: Your Honor, you are
20	right. There is an issue about whether Floyd
21	made false certifications in either one or
22	both of the applications, the assignment

application or modification.

As we discussed I think pretty thoroughly in the prehearing conference, the fact is those applications were filed by Wilks based on power of attorney information -- power of attorney signatures representing Floyd.

But there are, and hopefully we'll be able to resolve, some factual gaps as to exactly how Wilks came to file those applications with the representations made therein by Eddie Floyd's power of attorney.

That's the Bureau's position.

MR. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, it's our position that Wilks did not file any applications. Applications were filed by Counsel.

And Wilks was not involved. It will be our position Wilks was not involved in preparing Mr. Floyd's portion of the application, and therefore is not responsible for the material that was contained in the

1	section of the application which relates to
2	Mr. Floyd.
3	I think, again, we're going to
4	provide a basis for that.
5	I think we've already provided
6	information that shows, for example, that we
7	received back from the Floyd interests a
8	signature page for the application that was
9	signed by Sherry Floyd, Mr. Floyd's wife.
10	Which to me shows that Mr. Floyd's
11	representative at least reviewed the
12	application before it was filed and signed off
13	on it.
14	I also have some other evidence
15	which will back that up.
16	MS. LEAVITT: Your Honor, we're
17	working with Wilks' Counsel in the spirit of
18	cooperation, and hopefully we will be able to
19	move forward on this issue, pending Bureau's
20	review and receipt of the documents that
21	they're planning to submit.
22	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: I think the

1	wife had power of attorney, isn't that right?
2	MS. LEAVITT: Yes, Your Honor.
3	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you want
4	to proceed by motion for summary decision?
5	MS. LEAVITT: I think there's the
6	pending motion of Wilks.
7	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: That's true.
8	There is.
9	MS. LEAVITT: Lorraine's pending
10	their motion for request for dismissal as
11	a party, so I think that's the issue that
12	we're talking about trying to resolve.
13	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: So that's the
14	vehicle I'm talking about, what vehicle do you
15	use?
16	MR. HARRINGTON: I think that
17	would be the vehicle, Your Honor.
18	Our position, at least from Wilks'
19	point of view, would be that since none of the
20	concerns address a designated issue there
21	really doesn't there's nothing to make a
22	summary decision about.

1	Therefore it would be appropriate,
2	assuming we can satisfy the Bureau and it does
3	not seek enlargement of issues on some issues
4	I can't even think about it would be
5	appropriate to dismiss us a party, assuming we
6	reach agreement on it.
7	We can use the vehicle that's
8	already there.
9	MS. LEAVITT: By granting their
10	motion, Your Honor.
11	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right,
12	but your factual showing is going to include
13	just what you represented here, that Wilks had
14	nothing to do with preparing or filing the
15	paper, the document, the application. Is that
16	right?
17	MR. HARRINGTON: We did not
18	prepare Wilks did not prepare or file the
19	application. It did I have to be careful.
20	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: That's okay.
21	MR. HARRINGTON: It did convey to
22	the Floyd interests copies of material, then

1	get OKs and convey that back to Counsel. So
2	I'm caught in a potential privilege issue
3	here. But there definitely there was
4	involvement only in acting as a conduit for
5	the parties.
6	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I
7	hear you.
8	I'll take a look at it and see
9	what it's going to be. I'm very hopeful. I'm
10	very expecting that it can be handled that
11	way.
I	
12	Then theoretically you'll be gone
12 13	Then theoretically you'll be gone by the end of the year. And this case will be
13	by the end of the year. And this case will be
13 14	by the end of the year. And this case will be placed on hold, held in abeyance, or stayed
13 14 15	by the end of the year. And this case will be placed on hold, held in abeyance, or stayed until we hear further word about what the
13 14 15 16	by the end of the year. And this case will be placed on hold, held in abeyance, or stayed until we hear further word about what the Court is doing.
13 14 15 16 17	by the end of the year. And this case will be placed on hold, held in abeyance, or stayed until we hear further word about what the Court is doing. MR. SHAINIS: Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	by the end of the year. And this case will be placed on hold, held in abeyance, or stayed until we hear further word about what the Court is doing. MR. SHAINIS: Yes. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Then it
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	by the end of the year. And this case will be placed on hold, held in abeyance, or stayed until we hear further word about what the Court is doing. MR. SHAINIS: Yes. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Then it would be step-by-step after that. All right.

1	setting a time frame.
2	What time do you think all these
3	papers could come in on the
4	MR. HARRINGTON: We're hoping to
5	provide something to the Bureau today.
6	I'm assuming that the executives
7	of our client can review the draft
8	declarations, which we're working on, and give
9	the OK to provide them to Counsel of the
10	Bureau.
11	Then the Bureau we have suggested
12	would review them before they're executed so
13	that we can make sure that if they have any
14	further questions, we can answer them and get
15	it all resolved in one set of papers.
16	So I'm hoping we can get it
17	resolved by mid-next week.
18	MS. LEAVITT: Or thereabouts.
19	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, with
20	all these things coming, I'll tell you what.
21	I'm either going to want, I'll call them
22	disposition papers here, or a status by mid-

1	January.
2	Mr. Shainis, from your side the
3	case itself would be stayed indefinitely, as
4	well as all of the outstanding motions that
5	haven't been addressed and all of the
6	outstanding orders which are still maybe in
7	contest.
8	Do you have any objection to that?
9	MR. SHAINIS: No, Your Honor.
10	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
11	You're next. The same thing, I guess. Your
12	status report would be mid-January, if that's
13	okay.
14	MR. HARRINGTON: Not a problem,
15	Your Honor.
16	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Of course,
17	if something develops before then you know
18	where to find me.
19	All right. Thank you very much.
20	MR. SHAINIS: Thank you.
21	MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you, Your
22	Honor.

1	MS. LEAVITT: I'm sorry. Just a
2	couple of very minor housekeeping issues.
3	My Co-Counsel, Anita Patankar-
4	Stoll, received an offer she couldn't refuse
5	so she's transferring to another bureau. So
6	parties do not need to copy her any longer on
7	any filings.
8	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. I
9	thought maybe she was going to AT&T or
10	something like that. Another bureau?
11	MS. LEAVITT: Another bureau.
12	ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: That's even
1.5	hatta.
13	better.
14	MS. LEAVITT: There you go, Your
14	MS. LEAVITT: There you go, Your
14 15	MS. LEAVITT: There you go, Your Honor.
14 15 16	MS. LEAVITT: There you go, Your Honor. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
14 15 16 17	MS. LEAVITT: There you go, Your Honor. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Thank you very much for alerting us to that.
14 15 16 17 18	MS. LEAVITT: There you go, Your Honor. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Thank you very much for alerting us to that. Okay. I've got to get upstairs
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. LEAVITT: There you go, Your Honor. ADMIN. JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Thank you very much for alerting us to that. Okay. I've got to get upstairs and start drafting before I forget what we're

1	a good wee	kend.					
2		(Whereupon,	at	10:48	a.m.	the	
3	hearing wa	s concluded.)					
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Eddie Floyd, et al	
Name of Hearing	
MB DOCKET NO. 10-15	57
Docket No. (if app)	licable)
445 12 th STREET, S.V	W., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing	
December 3, 2010	
Date of Hearing	
numbers 56 through complete transcript Brandon Patt at the above identifications of the oppositions of the oppositions of the work and have verificant transcript by (1) of the reporting or recomparing the final	d, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 105, inclusive, are the true, accurate and prepared from the reporting by terson (Reporter's Name) in attendance field hearing, in accordance with applicable current Federal Communications Commission's tim reporting and transcription statement of field the accuracy of the accuracy of the comparing the typewritten transcript against ecording accomplished at the hearings and (2) proofed typewritten transcript against the ding accomplished at the hearing or conference. Brandon Patterson
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Reporter
	Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
December 3, 2010	Judy Hadley
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company: Neal cross Co.
A Company of the Comp	
December 3, 2010	Tracy Cain Taces air
Date	Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company: Neal Gross Co.
	Name of Company. Neal Groß CO.